

Appln No. 09/737,276

Amdt date February 28, 2005

Reply to Office action of October 18, 2004

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Original claims 1-17 were rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as anticipated by Quigley et al patent 6,650,624. The rejected claims have been replaced by new claims 18-32. Claims 18, 31, and 32 are independent. All of these independent claims specify that first and second sets of parameters are concurrently stored at a CM to transmit data packets having a first property on a first carrier and data packets having a second property on a second carrier. Quigley et al do not disclose or suggest this feature. Accordingly, claims 18-32 are patentable over Quigley and the other references of record.

In view of the forgoing, reconsideration of claims 18-32 and allowance of this application are requested.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP

By



LeRoy T. Rahn

Reg. No. 20,356

626/795-9900

LTR/mee

MEE PAS610590.1-\* -02/28/05 8:01 PM